



## Prevalence of Cryptosporidium infection in chickens in Maiduguri Metropolis, Borno State, Nigeria

A Mohammed<sup>1</sup>, S Mohammed<sup>1\*</sup>, HZ Hassan<sup>1</sup>, AS Saidu<sup>1</sup>, A Waziri<sup>2</sup>, SG Adamu<sup>1</sup> & YA Muhammad<sup>3</sup>

1. Department of Veterinary Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Maiduguri, P.M.B. 1069, Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria

2. Department of Veterinary Pathology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Maiduguri, PMB 1069, Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria

3. Department of Veterinary Parasitology and Entomology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Maiduguri, P.M.B. 1069, Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria

\*Correspondence: Tel.: +2348030996577; E-mail: msani600@gmail.com

**Copyright:** © 2026 Mohammed *et al.* This is an open-access article published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Publication History:**  
Received: 27-11-2025  
Revised: 13-01-2026  
Accepted: 15-01-2026

### Abstract

Cryptosporidiosis is a neglected parasitic zoonotic disease known to cause diarrhoea in humans, domestic animals, and wild vertebrates and has serious public health threats. Globally, poultry production is one of the most lucrative businesses and serves as a major source of protein due to its high demand. This study aimed to determine the prevalence of Cryptosporidium oocysts in chickens in Maiduguri metropolis. A total of one hundred and seventy faecal samples were collected from live bird markets, chicken slaughter slabs, and backyard poultry farms and surrounding environmental samples. Sex, age, and diarrhoea condition of the chickens were recorded. Samples were processed and analysed according to a standard operating procedure. The study recorded an overall prevalence of 2.4% Cryptosporidium oocysts in chickens. This prevalence was higher in females (3.4%) and local chickens (4.5%), compared to males (1.2%) and exotic birds (0%). Only slaughter slabs had a prevalence of 5%. There was no statistically significant ( $p>0.05$ ) association between location, sex, chicken type, and Cryptosporidium oocysts prevalence. The study recommends that poultry faeces and contaminated poultry meat should be hygienically handled to prevent infection. Poultry farmers, consumers and handlers should be educated on the hygienic measures to be taken.

**Keywords:** Chicken, Cryptosporidium, Maiduguri, Prevalence, Zoonosis

### Introduction

The Poultry industry occupies an important position in the provision of animal protein (meat and egg) to

man and generally plays a vital role in the national economy as a source of revenue. Poultry is one of the

most intensively reared of the domesticated species and one of the most developed and profitable animal production enterprises (Heise *et al.*, 2015; Mottet & Tempio, 2017; Erdaw & Beyene, 2022).

Chickens and eggs are in constant demand and have become a lucrative agricultural practice, providing a significant source of animal proteins worldwide. This could be attributed to the fact that it has low production costs, with little or no religious or cultural restrictions on the use of the products in most nations (Mottet & Tempio, 2017; Danladi & Garba, 2021; Attia *et al.*, 2022).

Cryptosporidium, a coccidian protozoan parasite, has been described in sixteen different species of domestic and wild mammals, birds and reptiles (Zaheer *et al.*, 2021; Ali *et al.*, 2024; Golomazou *et al.*, 2024), as an important causative agent of human and animal gastrointestinal infection globally (Zaheer *et al.*, 2021). Most human infection is mainly caused by *Cryptosporidium parvum*; however, the two main avian species, *Cryptosporidium baileyi* and *Cryptosporidium meleagridis*, demonstrate unique zoonotic potentials. *C. meleagridis* is reported to have potential effects on avian species, particularly chickens and pigeons, as well as humans, with significant implications for public health (Santana *et al.*, 2018; Liao *et al.*, 2018; Altamimi, 2020; Lin *et al.*, 2021; Ryan *et al.*, 2021; Hao *et al.*, 2024). Conversely, *C. baileyi* is rarely developed in immunocompromised individuals and cancer patients, primarily functioning as a parasite of birds. Moreover, field surveys consistently characterise its zoonotic potential as low or uncertain, lacking definitive evidence of regular cross-contamination between avians and mammals (Liao *et al.*, 2018; Iijima *et al.*, 2018; Robertson *et al.*, 2020; Altamimi, 2020; Feng *et al.*, 2023). The parasite is responsible for acute gastrointestinal and less frequent respiratory infections in humans. It is self-limiting in immunocompetent people but prolonged and potentially life-threatening for the immunocompromised populations (Fayer, 2004). The incubation period is usually about one week, with clinical signs of watery diarrhoea which may be accompanied by abdominal pain, vomiting and fever (Fayer, 2004). According to Experimental and observational studies by King & Monis (2007), Guechtouli *et al.* (2022), Berhanu *et al.* (2022), and Wang *et al.* (2024), indicated that *Cryptosporidium* oocysts flourish in cool, moist environments and retain infectivity for months on damp litter or wet soils, whereas desiccation significantly reduces their lifespan.

Poultry Cryptosporidiosis caused by the protozoan zoonotic parasite of the genus *Cryptosporidium*, is an important parasitic disease in the poultry industry. The distribution and economic significance of the disease have been reported in different countries such as Algeria, China, Germany, and Bangladesh (Baroudi *et al.*, 2013; Wang *et al.*, 2014; Helmy *et al.*, 2017; Kabir *et al.*, 2020; Helmy & Hafez, 2022). The infection occurs through ingestion of food or water contaminated with viable, environmentally resistant oocysts. So far, infection in birds is predominantly caused by four important species: *Cryptosporidium baileyi*, *Cryptosporidium galli*, *Cryptosporidium meleagridis* and *Cryptosporidium avium* (Nakamura & Meireles, 2015). The parasite has been reported in wild, domestic and captive birds (Bamaiyi & Redhuan, 2016).

The commonest clinical signs associated with *C. meleagridis* infection in chickens are greenish diarrhoea and decreased growth (Baroudi *et al.*, 2013). The parasite infects several animal and human hosts. From previous studies, infection has been reported in over 170 host species (Danladi & Ugbomoiko, 2015).

In developed countries, waterborne outbreaks of cryptosporidiosis have a significant economic impact (Checkley *et al.*, 1998). In Nigeria, human cryptosporidiosis has been reported earlier from Northern (Kwaga *et al.*, 1988), Central (Banwat *et al.*, 2003), Eastern (Okafor & Okunji, 1994 and 1996) and Western (Reinthal *et al.*, 1987) parts of the country. The disease is a major public health problem in both developing and developed countries (Saidu *et al.*, 2023). In developing countries, the disease probably exerts most of its impact on young children resulting in stunted growth (Bushen *et al.*, 2007).

The increasing consumption of poultry and poultry products (meat and egg) as a source of protein as well as a source of livelihood have increased the frequency of contact between poultry and humans. The zoonotic implication of poses a lot of uncertainties among the human population. The consumption of undercooked and other food preparations against the background of poor hygiene in production, processing and marketing of these products in Maiduguri exposes the consumer to enormous risks of *Cryptosporidium* infection, particularly among immunocompromised individuals. There is a paucity of information on the prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* infection in chickens and limited awareness of the disease in the study area. Hence, this necessitated the need to determine the incidence/prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* oocyst in poultry at various live

bird's markets and slaughter slabs in Maiduguri. This will therefore provide baseline data on the prevalence of Cryptosporidiosis in chicken in the study area and inform appropriate control and public health interventions.

## Materials and Methods

### Study area

The study was carried out in Maiduguri Metropolis, Borno State capital, located in the Northeastern part of Nigeria on latitude 11.8311" and longitude 13.1510" of the equator. It has a landmass area of 70,898Km<sup>2</sup> and borders Republic of Niger to the North, Lake Chad and Tchad Republic to the Northeast, Cameroon Republic to the East and borders the Nigerian states, Adamawa, Gombe and Yobe on the South and West, respectively. It is a semi-arid zone characterized by a harsh climate condition with dry season starting from November to early June, during which the temperature varies from 30°C to 41°C daily especially from March to June (Aliyu *et al.*, 2025). The rainy season usually starts from late June to October with low relative humidity and short wet seasons. The population of Maiduguri is estimated to be 845,000 in 2023, and that of the State is 5,860,200 as of 2016, with a population density of 83.0 inhabitants per square kilometre. Borno State consist mostly of farmers, civil servants, fishermen and livestock keepers as there are abundant water bodies (rivers, streams and dams), and marketplaces for fish, livestock and goods across the State. The study involve collection of samples at the LBMs in the study area, which were known for purchasing and selling birds such as chickens, pigeons, guinea fowl, turkeys, ducks, rabbits, quails, and other animals.

A cross-sectional study was designed to determine the prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts in chickens. The study was conducted between the months of August and September 2023.

### Sample size

An Epi-Info™ 7 was used to determine the sample size, a baseline prevalence of 11.9% reported by Olonisakin & Olusi (2021). Therefore, at 95% confidence level, the sample size was 161. Most of the poultry meat processors and their leaders at various locations of live birds markets (LBMs) and poultry farmers were informed of the objectives of the study, and their cooperation was requested before sampling. Oral consent was sought before sample collection; those who declined were excluded from the study.

### Sample collection and transportation

The samples were randomly collected from three live bird market locations (Monday market, Baga Road, and Tashan Bama), chicken slaughter slabs within these markets, and poultry farms around the study area. The samples were collected on a weekly basis. The samples were randomly obtained from three locations of live bird marketplaces (Monday market, Baga road, and Tashan Bama), chicken slaughter slabs within these markets, and poultry farms across various locations in the study area. The samples were collected weekly. A total of one hundred and seventy faecal samples were collected from live and slaughtered birds either as bird's fresh droppings or by cutting open an eviscerated intestine of slaughtered chicken while noting their sex, age and diarrhoeal condition. All faecal samples were placed into sample bottles immediately and appropriately labelled. In addition, 15 environmental samples (soil) around the poultry farm, slabs and live bird market were collected for the determination of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts. All the samples collected were then transported to the Veterinary Parasitology Laboratory in the Department of Veterinary Parasitology and Entomology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Maiduguri for further processing.

### Laboratory analysis

#### *Acid-fast staining technique for the detection of Cryptosporidium oocysts*

Samples were processed and analysed according to the procedure developed by Paul Ehrlich in 1882 (Ehrlich, 1892). One to two drops of concentrated stool were smeared on the slide and air-dried. This was fixed with absolute methanol for one minute. The slide was flooded with carbol fuchsin solution and intermittently heated from underneath using a spirit lamp for five minutes until fumes arose. The slide was then rinsed thoroughly with water and decolourized with acid alcohol for ten minutes, after which it was rinsed with water. The smear was then counterstained with methylene blue solution or malachite green solution for one to two minutes, which was then rinsed again, air dried and viewed under the microscope using a x100 objective with oil immersion.

#### *Identification of Cryptosporidium ocysts*

Each of the slides was examined using a light microscope at x 40 and x100 magnification. *Cryptosporidium* oocysts appeared as bright pink

spherules. Oocysts were confirmed under a higher power magnification (x100).

**Data analysis**

The prevalence rate of Cryptosporidium infection was calculated using the formula: Prevalence = (Number of samples positive)/ (Total sample analysed) ×100 (Spronk *et al.*, 2019).

Results were presented in percentages/proportions, tables and charts. The proportion of positives with 95% confidence interval was estimated. The relationships between the presence of Cryptosporidium and hypothesized risk factors were analyzed using Chi-square. The data were presented in tables and charts. An association between age, sex, management system, location of samples, and Cryptosporidium infection were determined using the Chi-square ( $\chi^2$ ) test of association and odds ratio (OR); confidence intervals (95%) were calculated for odds ratios. P-values less than 0.05 were considered significant. Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20 was used to analyze the data.

**Ethical approval and consent**

Verbal consent was obtained from poultry farmers, and approval was granted by the management of LBMs and chicken slaughter slabs prior to the initiation of the study. Farmers who declined were omitted from the research.

Verbal consent was sought from poultry farmers, and approval was given to us by the Management of LBMs

and poultry slaughter slabs before the commencement of the study. No human sample was involved in this study.

**Results**

*Sex distribution of Cryptosporidium oocysts in chickens*

This study recorded an overall prevalence of 4 (2.4%) Cryptosporidium oocysts in chickens in the study. Out of the 89 hen samples examined, 3 (3.4%) were positive, while only 1 (1.2%) was positive out of 81 cocks. There was no statistically significant ( $p=0.62$ ) association between sex and Cryptosporidium oocyst detection (Table 1).

*Distribution of Cryptosporidium oocyst in chickens at slaughter slabs, live birds market and commercial poultry farms, Maiduguri Metropolis*

Out of the 170 faecal samples collected at 3 different sampling units, only poultry slaughter slabs recorded 5% prevalence of Cryptosporidium oocyst (Table 2).

*Distribution of Cryptosporidium oocysts in Maiduguri metropolis based on chicken type*

Out of 93 local chickens examined, 4 (4.3%) were positive for Cryptosporidium oocysts compared to exotic chickens with 0% prevalence. There was no statistically significant association ( $p=1.3\%$ ) between chicken types and Cryptosporidium oocysts. None of the 15 soil environmental samples tested for Cryptosporidium oocysts was positive (Table 3).

**Table 1:** Sex distribution of Cryptosporidium oocysts in chickens at Maiduguri Metropolis

Sex	Number tested	Number Positive	Prevalence (%)	$\chi^2$	p-value
Female	89	3	3.4	0.8	0.62
Male	81	1	1.2		
Total	170	4	2.4		

**Table 2:** Distribution of Cryptosporidium oocysts in chickens at slaughter slabs, Live Birds Market and commercial poultry farms, Maiduguri Metropolis

Sampling Unit	Total number of sampled examined	Number of samples positive	Prevalence (%)
Commercial poultry farm	50	0	0.0
Live Bird markets	40	0	0.0
Slaughter slabs	80	4	5.0
Total	170	4	2.4

**Table 3:** Distribution of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts in Maiduguri metropolis based on chicken type and environmental samples

Types	Number tested	Number Positive	Prevalence (%)	$\chi^2$	p-value
Broilers	77	0	0.0	3.25	1.3
Locals	93	4	4.3		
Total	170	4	2.4		
Soil	15	0			

## Discussion

The prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts in chickens in Maiduguri metropolis, Borno state, Northeastern Nigeria may seem low, but it might be significant as it may pose a public health threat, particularly among immunocompromised individuals, and also the poultry industry in the study area. This is because *Cryptosporidium* oocysts can remain infective under cool, humid conditions for many months, especially where water temperatures in ponds, lakes, and rivers remain low but above the freezing point (Fayer, 2004). This reported prevalence was lower than 7.4% reported by Bamaiyi *et al.* (2013) in Zaria and 11.9% reported by Olonisakin *et al.* (2021) in chickens in Akure, Ondo state. In addition, this also disagrees with the findings of Mustapha *et al.* (2016), who reported 6.4% prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts in chickens in Kano state. This variation may be attributed to differences in the periods of sample collection, sample size, environmental conditions, hygienic measures, and other management practices of the study area. This is because Ondo state is known to have high humidity, which may improve oocyst survival in the environment and subsequent transmission to a susceptible host. Adding to that, Zaria has relatively higher humidity and lower ambient temperature compared to Maiduguri; as such, this may serve as the reason for the higher prevalence in the former.

The higher prevalence reported in females may be attributed to the fact that females stay longer in the flock, making them have more chances of being exposed to *Cryptosporidium* oocysts, particularly during the period of high soil moisture and also, during laying, females stay longer in the cage than males, and as such have a higher risk of being exposed. This finding agrees with that of Olonisakin *et al.* (2021), who reported a higher prevalence of 12.2% in females as compared to males. Though sex has not been statistically associated with *Cryptosporidium* oocyst. This agrees with the findings of Bamaiyi & Redhuan (2016), Shahbazi *et al.* (2020), Gong *et al.* (2021) and Feng *et al.* (2023).

The prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts in local chickens in this study may be linked to poor management practices attached to rearing local chickens. This is comparable with the findings of Bamaiyi *et al.* (2013), who reported a higher prevalence of 9.5% in local Chickens in Zaria. The absence of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts in environmental samples in this study may be attributed to the higher temperature in the study area, which halted the growth and life cycle of the

parasite, contrary to other areas, which may have an enabling environment of high humidity and lower temperature. This is in contrast to findings of Mohaghegh (2016), who reported 30.9% prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts in soil in northern Iran. The disparity may be due to differences in the environmental conditions of the study area. This is because northern Iran is a cold semi-arid climate zone and most of the precipitation is in the form of snow, which may favour the soil survival of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts.

The presence of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts in chicken slaughter slab may not be unconnected with constant presence of moisture around the vicinity of the slaughter slabs, together with poor hygienic measures, which gives a moist, protective, conducive microhabitat in which oocysts may persist for 4-12 months or longer (Olson *et al.*, 1999). This is contrary to the findings of Mustapha *et al.* (2016), who reported a higher prevalence of 10% in LBMs in Zaria. The disparity may be due to differences in sample size, locations, period of sample collection and study area.

This study concluded that the low prevalence recorded in this study, which is also higher in males in local chickens than in exotic ones, and in hens than in cocks, could still pose a public health threat in the study area.

Lack of constant electricity supply to store samples and effectively identify the oocyst microscopically, and lack of funds to further characterize the oocyst have formed part of the limitations of this study.

## Funding

No funding was received.

## Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- Ali M, Ji Y, Xu C, Hina Q, Javed U & Li K (2024). Food and waterborne cryptosporidiosis from a one health perspective: A comprehensive review. *Animal*, **14**(22): 3287.
- Aliyu YM, Mudi ZA & Thamus ZY (2025). Assessing the Influence of Climate Variability on Poultry production in Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Agriculture and Agricultural Technology*, **5**(2A): 10-19.
- Altamimi M (2020). High Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium meleagridis* in Domestic Pigeons (*Columba livia domestica*) Raises a Prospect of Zoonotic Transmission in

- Babylon Province, Iraq. *The Iraqi Journal of Veterinary Medicine*, doi.10.30539/ijvm.v44i(e0).1012.
- Attia YA, Rahman MT, Hossain MJ, Basiouni S, Khafaga AF, Shehata AA & Hafez HM (2022). Poultry production and sustainability in developing countries under the COVID-19 crisis: Lessons learned. *Animals*, doi.10.3390/ani12050644.
- Bamaiyi PH & Redhuan NEM (2016). Prevalence and risk factors for cryptosporidiosis: a global, emerging, neglected zoonosis. *Asian Biomedicine*, **10**(4): 309-325.
- Bamaiyi PH, Umoh JU, Abdu PA & Lawal IA (2013). The Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* Oocysts in Birds in Zaria, Nigeria. *Borneo Journal of Resource Science and Technology*, doi.10.33736/bjrst.278.2013.
- Banwat EB, Egah DZ, Onile BA, Angyo IA & Audu ES (2003). Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* infection among undernourished children in Jos, central, Nigeria. *Postgraduate Medical Journal*, **10** (2): 84-87.
- Baroudi D, Khelef D, Goucem R, Adjou KT, Adamu H & Zhang H (2013). Common occurrence of zoonotic pathogen *Cryptosporidium meleagridis* in broiler chickens and turkeys in Algeria. *Veterinary Parasitology*, **196**(3-4): 34-340.
- Berhanu K, Ayana D, Megersa B, Ashenafi H & Waktole H (2022). *Cryptosporidium* in human-animal-environment interphase at Adama and Asella areas of Oromia regional state, Ethiopia. *BMC Veterinary Research*, doi.10.1186/s12917-022-03497-w.
- Bushen OY, Kohli A, Pinkerton RC, Dupnik K, Newman RD, Sears CL & Guerrant RL (2007). Heavy cryptosporidial infections in children in northeast Brazil: Comparison of *Cryptosporidium hominis* and *Cryptosporidium parvum*. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, **101**(4): 378-384.
- Checkley W, Epstein LD, Gilman RH, Black RE, Cabrera L & Sterling CR (1998). Effects of *Cryptosporidium parvum* infection in Peruvian children: Growth faltering and subsequent catch-up growth. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, **148**(5): 497-506.
- Danladi YK & Garba MY (2021). *Cryptosporidium* Infection in free roaming native chickens from Kebbi State, Nigeria. *African Scholar Journal of Agricultural Technology*, **22**(1): 1-9.
- Danladi YK & Ugbomoiko US (2015). Epidemiology of Cryptosporidiosis in Ruminant Species in Kebbi State, Nigeria. *IOSR Journal of Agriculture Sand Veterinary Science*, **8**(12): 39-44.
- Ehrlich P (1892). Ueber immunität durch vererbung und säugung. *Zeitschrift für Hygiene und Infektionskrankheiten*, **12**(1): 183-203.
- Erdaw MM & Beyene WT (2022). Trends, prospects and the socio-economic contribution of poultry production in sub-Saharan Africa: a review. *World's Poultry Science Journal*, **78**(3): 835-852.
- Fayer R (2004). *Cryptosporidium*: a water-borne zoonotic parasite. *Veterinary parasitology*, **126**(1-2): 37-56.
- Feng X, Deng J, Zhang Z, Yu F Zhang J, Shi T, Sun H, Qi M & Liu X (2023). Dominant infection of *Cryptosporidium baileyi* in broiler chickens in Zhejiang Province, China. *Parasitology Research*, doi.10.1007/s00436-023-07898-0.
- Golomazou E, Mamedova S, Eslahi AV & Karanis P (2024). *Cryptosporidium* and agriculture: A review. *Science of the total environment*, doi.10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.170057.
- Gong Z, Kan Z, Huang J, Fang Z, Liu X, Gu Y & Li W (2021). Molecular prevalence and characterization of *Cryptosporidium* in domestic free-range poultry in Anhui Province, China. *Parasitology Research*, **120**(10): 3519-3527.
- Guechtouli S, Mimoune N, Messai CR, Salhi O, Kaidi R & Khelef D (2022). *Cryptosporidium* sp. infection in the broiler chickens and turkeys on farms in North Central Algeria. *Veterinarska Stanica*, doi.10.46419/vs.53.4.5.
- Hao Y, Liu A, Li H, Zhao Y, Yao L, Yang B, Zhang W & Yang F (2024). Molecular characterization and zoonotic potential of *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *Giardia duodenalis* in humans and domestic animals in Heilongjiang Province, China. *Parasites & Vectors*, doi.10.1186/s13071-024-06219-3.
- Heise H, Crisan A & Theuvsen L (2015). The poultry market in Nigeria: Market structures and potential for investment in the market. *International Food and Agribusiness Management Review*, **18**: 197-222.

- Helmy YA & Hafez H (2022). Cryptosporidiosis: From Prevention to treatment, a Narrative Review. *Microorganisms*, doi.10.3390/microorganisms10122456.
- Helmy YA, El-Adawy H & Abdelwhab EM (2017). A Comprehensive Review of Common Bacterial, Parasitic and Viral Zoonoses at the Human-Animal Interface in Egypt. *Pathogens*, **6**(3): 33.
- Iijima Y, Itoh N, Phromprapai T Ito Y, Kimura Y & Kameshima S (2018). Molecular Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* spp. among Companion Birds Kept in Pet Shops in Japan. *The Korean Journal of Parasitology*, doi.10.3347/kjp.2018.56.3.281.
- Kabir MHB, Han Y, Lee SH, Nugraha AB, Recuenco F, Murakoshi F & Kato K (2020). Prevalence and molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* species in poultry in Bangladesh. *One Health*, doi.10.1016/j.onehit.2020.100122.
- King BJ & Monis PT (2007). Critical processes affecting *Cryptosporidium* oocyst survival in the environment. *Parasitology*, **134**(3): 309-323.
- Kwaga JKP, Umoh JU & Odoaba MB (1988). *Cryptosporidium* infections in humans with gastroenteritis in Zaria, Nigeria. *Epidemiology & Infection*, **101**(1): 93-97.
- Liao C, Wang T, Koehler A, Fan Y, Hu M & Gasser R (2018). Molecular investigation of *Cryptosporidium* in farmed chickens in Hubei Province, China, identifies 'zoonotic' subtypes of *C. meleagridis*. *Parasites & Vectors*, doi.10.1186/s13071-018-3056-5
- Lin X, Xin L, Qi M, Hou M, Liao S, Qi N, Li J, Lv M, Cai H, Hu J, Zhang J, Ji X & Sun M (2021). Dominance of the zoonotic pathogen *Cryptosporidium meleagridis* in broiler chickens in Guangdong, China, reveals evidence of cross-transmission. *Parasites & Vectors*, doi.10.1186/s13071-022-05267-x.
- Mohaghegh MA, Jafari R, Ghosmshlooyan M, Mirzaei F, Azami M, Falahati M & Salehi F (2016). Soil contamination with oocyst of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in Isfahan, Central Iran. *International Journal of Enteric Pathogens*, **3**(3): 3-29105
- Mottet A & Tempio G (2017). Global poultry production: current state and future outlook and challenges. *World's Poultry Science Journal*, **73**(2): 245-256.
- Mustapha MA, Wakawa AM & Abdu PA (2016). Occurrence and risk factors associated with faecal shedding of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts in Avian species in Kano Metropolis, Nigeria. *Nigerian Veterinary Journal*, **37**(4): 247-253.
- Nakamura AA & Meireles MV (2015). *Cryptosporidium* infections in birds: A review. *Revista Brasileira de Parasitologia Veterinária*, **24**(3): 253-267.
- Okafor JI & Okunji PO (1994) *Cryptosporidiosis* in patients with diarrhoea in five hospitals. *Journal of Communicable Disease*, **26**(2): 75-81.
- Okafor JI & Okunji PO (1996) Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts in faecal samples of some school children in Enugu State, Nigeria. *Journal of Communicable Disease*, **28**(1): 49-55.
- Olonisakin AA & Olusi TA (2021). Epidemiology of *Cryptosporidium* spp. infection among free-range and intensive farm birds in Akure South LGA, Ondo State, Nigeria. *Bulletin of the National Research Centre*, **45**(1): 1-6.
- Olson M, Goh J, Phillips M, Guselle N & McAllister T (1999). *Giardia* cyst and *Cryptosporidium* oocyst survival in water, soil, and cattle feces. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, doi.10.2134/jeq1999.00472425002800060040x.
- Reinthal FF, Hermentin K, Mascher F, Klen G & Sixl W (1987). *Cryptosporidiosis* in Ogun State, South-west Nigeria. *Tropical Medical Parasitology*, **38**(1): 51.
- Robertson L, Johansen Ø, Kifleyohannes T, Efunshile A & Terefe G (2020). *Cryptosporidium* Infections in Africa—How Important Is Zoonotic Transmission? A Review of the Evidence. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, doi.10.3389/fvets.2020.575881.
- Ryan U, Zahedi A, Feng Y & Xiao L (2021). An Update on Zoonotic *Cryptosporidium* Species and Genotypes in Humans. *Animals*, doi.10.3390/ani11113307.
- Saidu AS, Mohammed S, Adamu SG, Sadiq MA, Tijjani AO, Musa HI & Idriss MD (2023). Prevalence and risk estimates of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts infection associated with consumption of raw-eaten vegetables in Maiduguri Metropolis LGAs, Northeast Nigeria. *Scientific Reports*, doi.10.17795/iji-37923.
- Santana B, Kurahara B, Nakamura A, Da Silva Camargo V, Ferrari E, Da Silva G, Nagata W & Meireles M (2018). Detection and characterization of *Cryptosporidium* species

- and genotypes in three chicken production systems in Brazil using different molecular diagnosis protocols. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, doi.10.1016/j.prevetmed.2018.01.007
- Spronk I, Korevaar JC, Poos R, Davids R, Hilderink H, Schellevis FG & Nielen MM (2019). Calculating incidence rates and prevalence proportions: Not as simple as it seems. *BMC Public Health*, **19**(1): 1-9.
- Wang D, Jiang P, Yang X, Zhang J, Chen T, Hu M & Zhu G (2024). Novel strategy to quantify the viability of oocysts of *Cryptosporidium parvum* and *C. hominis*, a risk factor of the waterborne protozoan pathogens of public health concern. *Water Research*, doi.10.1016/j.watres.2024.121788.
- Wang L, Xue X, Li J, Zhou Q, Yu Y & Du A (2014). Cryptosporidiosis in broiler chickens in Zhejiang Province, China: molecular characterization of oocysts detected in fecal samples. *Parasite*, doi.10.1051/parasite/2014035.
- Zaheer T, Imran M, Abbas RZ, Zaheer I & Malik MA (2021). Avian cryptosporidiosis and its zoonotic significance in Asia. *World's Poultry Science Journal*, **77**(1): 55-70.