SHORT COMMUNICATION

Sokoto, Journal of ciences

(P-ISSN 1595-093X: E-ISSN 2315-6201)

http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/sokjvs.v20i3.8



Omoniwa et al./Sokoto Journal of Veterinary Sciences, 20(3): 212 - 215.

Serological and molecular investigation of canine influenza virus in Plateau State, Nigeria

DO Omoniwa¹*, CN Chinyere², ER Agusi², N Mkpuma², JS Oyetunde², OE Igah³, J Adole², AM Adidu-Omoniwa⁴ & CA Meseko²

^{1.} Department of Veterinary Medicine, Surgery and Radiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Jos, Plateau State, Nigeria

- ^{2.} Regional Laboratory for Animal Influenza, Infectious Transboundary Animal Diseases, National Veterinary Research Institute, Vom, Plateau State, Nigeria
- Livestock Investigation Department, National Veterinary Research Institute, Vom, Plateau State, Nigeria
 REDISSE Project, Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development, Plateau State, Nigeria

*Correspondence: Tel.: +2348032765952; E-mail: dareomoniwa@gmail.com

Copyright: © 2022	Abstract
Omoniwa <i>et al.</i> This is	Canine influenza is a highly contagious respiratory infection of dogs caused by the
an open-access	Influenza A Virus (IAV), characterized by cough, sneeze, nasal secretions, and inappetence.
article published	Infections can be mild, severe or fatal. Aquatic birds constitute a natural reservoir for IAV,
under the terms of	which is transmitted to terrestrial birds, including poultry. IAV has also emerged in other
the Creative	mammalian species, including humans, swine, horses, and dogs. IAV epidemics in dogs are
Commons Attribution	a recent development. Commonly detected Canine Influenza Virus (CIV) strains are
License which	A/H3N2 and A/H3N8 from avian and equine influenza, respectively. Nigeria's agro-ecology
permits unrestricted	witnessed widespread circulation of avian influenza since 2006, and recent outbreaks of
use, distribution, and	equine influenza in 2018/2019 raise the possibility of inter-species transmission to dogs.
reproduction in any	To investigate canine Influenza in Plateau State, we collected 113 nasal swabs and 270
medium, provided	sera samples from dogs in clinics, live dog markets, and during dog vaccination campaigns.
the original author	After extracting nucleic acid with the Qiagen kit, RT-PCR analysed swabs for the Influenza
and source are	A matrix gene. Sera samples were screened by Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay
credited.	before subtyping a cross-section for H3 antibody by Hemagglutination Inhibition. No
	matrix gene was amplified from extracted nucleic acid from the nasal swabs. Though few
Publication History:	sera were reactive to influenza A nucleoprotein, none was positive for influenza A/H3. The
Received: 19-02-2022	H3N8 strain of equine influenza virus first caused an epidemic in dogs in 1999 in the United
Revised: 29-05-2022	States. Subsequently, avian-origin H3N2 CIV emerged in dogs in China and South Korea in
Accepted: 22-05-	2005. Past CIV epidemics arose from a single cross-species transmission of H3N8 subtype
2022	from a mammalian intermediate host and the H3N2 subtype from an avian reservoir. Even
	though this limited investigation did not detect CIV in Plateau State, the potential remains
	because of the persistent circulation of avian, swine, and equine Influenza in Nigeria,
	which requires more extensive virological and serological surveillance.
Keywords: Canine Influenza, Dogs, RT-PCR, Plateau State, Serology	

Introduction

Influenza A viruses (IAV) belong to the family Orthomyxoviridae. They include enveloped viruses of

80-120nm in diameter with a negative-sense singlestranded RNA genome (Xie *et al.*, 2016). The genome is made up of eight (8) segments encoding a minimum of ten (10) proteins, sometimes 14 depending on strain namely: haemagglutinin (HA), neuraminidase (NA), polymerase subunits (PA, PB1 and PB2), nucleoprotein (NP), interferon agonist (NS1), nuclear export protein (NEP), matrix protein (M1) and channel protein (M2). (Bouvier & Palese, 2008).

Currently, there are 18 haemagglutinins (H1-H18) and 11 neuraminidases (N1-N11) (Henry, 2018). Viruses identical haemagglutinin containing and neuraminidase might have marked differences in their genomic segments, influencing pathogenicity and host specificity (Xie et al., 2016). This variation within the genomic segment allows for both antigenic shift and antigenic drift, which may lead to the formation of a new virulent virion or a new Influenza A virus subtype. The IAVs have a diverse range of hosts, with most viruses being found as common and endemic gastrointestinal tract infections of bird populations (Yoon et al., 2014). Occasionally, avian IAVs spill over to infect terrestrial bird populations or into certain mammalian populations (Webster & Govorkova, 2014). Among mammals, IAVs have caused outbreaks among humans, swine, horses, seals, mink, dogs and cats (Parrish & Voorhees, 2019). Canine influenza is a recent emerging, contagious, upper respiratory tract disease of dogs, characterized by cough, pyrexia, nasal discharge, dyspnea, and lethargy (Gibbs & Anderson, 2010). Currently, there are at least six influenza virus subtypes that have been reported to infect dogs: H3N8, H3N2, H5N1, H5N2, H3N1 and H1N1 (Crawford et al., 2005). The H3N8 and H3N2 viruses can cause sustained transmission between dogs, but there is insufficient evidence that these other subtypes can be transmitted continuously in dog populations. These outbreaks in dogs suggest they could play a role in the transmission of IAV between humans and other mammalian species. Dogs are the pre-eminent companion animals to man and occupy a unique niche due to the various roles they perform which enables them to serve as an intermediate host in the transmission of IAV's between man and other mammals (Daoudu et al., 2019).

It is also possible that additional reassortments between canine and human viruses could occur in dogs or humans or possibly other hosts such as cats, potentially creating a new human influenza virus for which there may be little or no natural immunity.

In Nigeria, there is the paucity of information on Canine Influenza, hence a need for surveillance. The recent 2018 outbreak of equine Influenza in Nigeria (Shittu *et al.*, 2020) and the knowledge that the H3N8 virus in dogs originated from horses as well as the H3N2, which is of avian origin justifies investigation into the possible exposure of dogs to AIV in the study

area. (Parrish *et al.*, 2015). The study aimed to detect CIVs in dogs by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) and serological methods from nasal swabs and sera, respectively.

Materials and Methods

The study was carried out in Plateau State with coordinates 10.3837°N, 8.3763°E and 8.3971°N, 9.6272°E, which has a population of about 3.5 million people who are predominantly farmers (Anon, 2019). One hundred and thirteen nasal swabs were collected in viral transport medium from dogs that showed signs of coughing and/or having nasal discharge. Two hundred and seventy sera samples from dogs at a rate of 30 samples per month for nine months. All samples were collected from January to September 2019 from veterinary clinics, live dog markets and during dog vaccination campaigns in Jos metropolis. These samples were appropriately labelled and thereafter transported to the Influenza Laboratory of the National Veterinary Research Institute, Vom, Plateau State, Nigeria, in an ice-packed box. The swab samples were stored at -80°C and serum samples were stored at -20°C until analyzed.

RNA extraction from swabs was carried out by the use of a Qiagen RNeasy Mini Kit, a high Pure RNA extraction Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA was eluted in a final volume of 50 μ l and stored at -80°C.

The RNA was screened for matrix (M) gene using realtime gRT-PCR assays on a Rotor-Gene Q MDx machine, model 5-plex (QIAGEN Co, CA, Germany) in a 25 µL reaction mixture containing 5 µL of RNA, 1.5 M+25 5' μL of each primer, AGATGAGTCTTCTAACCGAGGTCG-3' and M-124 5' TGCAAAAACATCTTCAAGTCTCTG-3' (5 µM), 2.5µL of M+64 FAM probe 5' TCAGGCCCCCTCAAGCCGA-3' (1 μM), 12.5 2X RT-PCR master mix, 0.2 μL enzyme mix (Quantitect multiplex), and 1.8 µL of Rnase-free water with the following cycling conditions: 20 min at 50°C and 15 min at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles at 94°C for 45 s and 60°C for 45 s (Spackman et al., 2002).

The sera samples were tested using a specific commercially available indirect Influenza A Virus Antibody ELISA Test Kit (IDEXX Influenza A Test Kit) following the manufacturer's instructions. This kit is an enzyme immunoassay capable of detecting IgG antibodies to all influenza A viruses in animal serum. Each sample was diluted tenfold (1/10) with the dilution buffer and mixed thoroughly using plain microplates before being assayed. The samples were tested individually in ELISA plates that were precoated with influenza A antigens. The absorbance of the samples and the controls was measured and recorded at 650nm. The validity criteria of the test were determined as NCX \geq 0.600 and PCX \leq 0.50 for

the mean of negative control and positive control respectively. The presence or absence of antibody to Influenza A in the samples were determined by the sample to negative ratio (S/N). The cutoff for negative samples was S/N \ge 0.50 while the cutoff for the positive samples was S/N < 0.60.

Treatment of sera: Treatment of test sera to remove nonspecific agglutinins and nonspecific inhibitors was carried out according to WHO protocol (2002).

Forty (40) sera samples that were positive by ELISA were analyzed using a haemagglutination inhibition test according to the protocol described in OIE (2018). H3 antibody was assessed from serum samples. Briefly, 0.025mL of PBS was dispensed into all wells of a plastic 96-well microtiter plate (v-bottomed wells) except the first well in row H, and 0.025mL of serum was placed in the first well. 0.025mL of the positive control serum (with known HI titer) and negative control sera were added to two respective wells of the microtiter plates. With the aid of a multichannel micropipette, twofold dilutions of the sera were made across the plate (A1-A12). The last 0.025mL was discarded and 0.025mL of antigen containing 4HAU was added to all the wells except row H, which served as back titration.

H3N8 antigen was used. Back titration was carried out; thus, 0.025mL of antigen suspension containing 4HAU was added to each of the first two wells of row H and twofold dilution was made from H2 to the H6 and the last 0.025mL was discarded to obtain 4, 2, 1, 0.5, 0.25, and 0.125 HAU. This was mixed by tapping gently and plates were placed at room temperature for 30 minutes. 0.025mL of 1% washed chicken-RBC was added to each well. Again the mixture was placed on the bench at room temperature for 30 minutes and observed for HI reactions. This was done by tilting and observing the presence of tear-shaped streaming at the same rate as the control wells containing RBCs only.

Results and Discussion

The samples analyzed in these investigations were negative for Influenza A virus. Yet, Canine influenza was detected in previous studies. The serological test by ELISA showed some reactive sera but none was subtyped. The first detection of Canine influenza virus was reported in Florida, USA in 2004 in racing greyhounds and it later spread to nine other states in USA between 2004 -2005 (Crawford *et al.*, 2005). They also reported that the virus was first detected by serological technique in dogs which showed acute respiratory disease in shelters, and Vet clinics in both New York and Florida in 2004 and 2005.

The qRT-PCR result for all the 113 samples tested showed no amplification for matrix gene from extracted nucleic acid from the nasal swabs. The none detection of CIV in both 113 nasal swabs and 270 sera samples from the tested dogs in Plateau State, Nigeria corroborate the report of De Benedictis et al. (2010) in Italy, Knesl et al. (2009) in New Zealand, Kruth et al. (2008) in Ontario, Canada and Schulz et al. (2014) in Germany who screened for CIV in dogs and detected zero prevalence. This is an indication that, currently, canine influenza virus (CIV) of H3N8 and H3N2 circulates only in the dog populations in the USA, China, Thailand, North America and Korea. The risk of CIV transmission from dogs to other mammals and humans appears low in Plateau State. This may imply that the CIV is yet to find a suitable host in dogs in Plateau State since all the samples tested were negative for the influenza A virus. The possibility of missing out CIV in the samples collected was low, first, because qRT-PCR was used to analyze the swab samples, which had been shown to detect viruses with titre as low as 3 EID 50 (50% egg infectious dose) in its sensitivity (Joannis et al., 2008). Secondly, the sera samples were analyzed using two serological techniques (ELISA and Haemagglutination inhibition test) which are the recommended serologic test for detecting Influenza A viruses in samples (OIE, 2012). The PCR technique for viral nucleic acid detection is more sensitive (up to 100-fold) than virus isolation in eggs or mammalian cell cultures (Fouchier et al., 2000). The absence of CIV in this study is an important finding for reference, knowledge and documentation for tracking the potential role of dogs in influenza A virus transmission in Plateau State.

This result also suggests that the risk of possible mixing or reassortment of the CIV in dogs with its concomitant risk to humans and other species is low, despite the unique ability of dogs to interface with humans, poultry and wildlife. It also reveals that the probability of canine influenza vaccine use in the study area is probably nil, since serologically there were no animals positive for the H3 haemagglutinin test. This result also differs from the results obtained by Oluwayelu et al. (2014) who obtained a 40% seropositivity to H3N8 virus in pets and hunting dogs in Ibadan and Lagos, Nigeria. It is important to note that they specifically targeted hunting dogs. Despite the result of this study, more work is required for continuous seroepidemiological surveillance of the CIV and other AIVs in Plateau state as well as nationwide.

Funding

Nil

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References

- Anonymous (2019). Plateau State. www.plateaustate.gov.ng, retrieved 17-12-2019
- Bouvier, NM & Palese, P (2008). The biology of influenza viruses. *Vaccine*, **26**(4): D49-D53.
- Crawford PC, Dubovi EJ, Castleman WL, Stephenson I, Gibbs EP, Chen L, Smith C, Hill RC, Ferro P, Pompey J, Bright RA, Medina MJ, Johnson CM, Olsen CW, Cox NJ, Klimov AI, Katz JM & Donis RO (2005). Transmission of equine influenza virus to dogs. *Science*, **310**(5747): 482–485.
- Daoudu OB, Adebiyi AI & Oluwayelu DO (2019). Serological and molecular surveillance for Influenza A virus in dogs and their human contacts in Oyo State, Nigeria. *Tropical Biomedicine*. **36**(4): 1054-1060.
- De Benedictis P, Anderson TC, Perez A, Viale E, Veggiato C, Tiozzo-Caenazzo S, Crawford PC & Capua I (2010). A diagnostic algorithm for detection of antibodies to Influenza A viruses in dogs in Italy (2006–2008). *Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation*, **22**(6): 914–920.
- Gibbs EPJ & Anderson T. C. (2010). Equine and canine influenza: A review of current events. *Animal Health Research Reviews*, **11**(1): 43–51.
- Henry R (2018). Etymologia. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, doi.10.3201/eid2410.ET2410.
- Joannis TM, Meseko CA, Oladokun AT, Ularamu HG, Egbuji AN, Solomon P, Nyam DC, Gado DA, Luka P, Ogedengbe ME, Yakubu MB, Tyem AD, Akinyede O, Shittu AI, Sulaiman LK, Owolodun OA, Olawuyi AK, Obishakin ET & Fasina FO (2008). Serologic and Virologic investigation of avian influenza in Nigeria, 2006-7. *Eurosurveillance*, **13**(42): 1-6
- Knesl O, Allan FJ & Shields S (2009). The seroprevalence of canine respiratory coronavirus and canine influenza virus in dogs in New Zealand. New Zealand Veterinary Journal, 57(5): 295–298.
- Kruth SA, Carman S & Weese, JS (2008). Seroprevalence of antibodies to canine influenza virus in dogs in Ontario. Canadian Veterinary Journal, 49(9): 800–808.
- OIE Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals (Mammals, Birds and Bees) (2018) World Organization for Animal Health. Eight edition, Vol 1 & 2. Pp 233-235.
- OIE (World Organization for Animal Health) (2012). http://www.oie.int/eng/normes/en_mman ual.htm, retrieved 04-02-2022.

- Oluwayelu DO, Bankole O, Ajagbe O, Adebiyi AI, Abiola JO, Otuh P & Omobowale OT (2014). Serological survey for emerging canine H3N8 and H3N2 influenza viruses in pet and village dogs in Nigeria. *African Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences*, **43**:1-6
- Parrish CR, Murcia P R & Holmes EC (2015). Influenza virus reservoirs and intermediate hosts: dogs, horses, and new possibilities for influenza virus exposure of humans. *Journal* of Virology, **89**(8): 2990–2994.
- Parrish CR & Voorhees, IER (2019). H3N8 and H3N2 Canine Influenza Viruses Understanding These New Viruses in Dogs. Veterinary Clinics of North America: Small Animal Practice, **49**(4): 643–649.
- Schulz B, Klinkenberg C, Fux R, Anderson T, de Benedictis P & Hartmann K (2014).
 Prevalence of canine influenza virus A (H3N8) in dogs in Germany. *The Veterinary Journal*, 202(1): 184-185.
- Shittu I, Meseko CA, Sulaiman LP, Inuwa B, Mustapha M, Zakariya PS, Muhammad AA, Muhammad U, Atuman YJ, Barde IJ, Zecchin B, Quaranta EG, Shamaki D, Alabi O, Monne I, Fusaro A & Joannis TM. (2020). Fatal multiple outbreaks of equine influenza H3N8 in Nigeria, 2019: The first introduction of Florida clade 1 to West Africa. *Veterinary Microbiology*, doi.10.1016/j.vetmic.2020.108820.
- Spackman E, Senne DA, Myers TJ, Bulaga LL, Garber LP, Perdue ML, Lohman K, Daum LT. & Suarez DL (2002). Development of a real-time reverse transcriptase PCR assay for type A influenza virus and the avian H5 and H7 hemagglutinin subtypes. *Journal of Clinical. Microbiology*, **40**:(9) 3256-3260.
- WHO (2002). Collaborating Center for the Surveillance, Epidemiology and Control of Influenza, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA 30333, USA. Pp 37-39.
- Webster RG & Govorkova EA (2014). Continuing challenges in influenza. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, **1323** (1): 115– 139.
- Xie X, Ma K & Liu Y (2016). Influenza A virus infection in dogs: epizootiology, evolution and prevention. A review. *Acta Veterinaria Hungarica*, **64** (1):125-139.
- Yoon SW, Webby RJ & Webster RG (2014). Evolution and Ecology of Influenza A Viruses. *Current Topics in Microbiology and Immunology*, **1323**(1): 359–375.